**5 exceptions to ‘fail first’ protocols included in the *Safe Step Act of 2019***

1. **Patient already tried and failed on the required drug.** Michael was eight years old when his parents noticed his foot turning in when he walked, prompting a series of doctor’s appointments. Following numerous misdiagnoses, Michael was finally diagnosed with Psoriatic Arthritis at the age of 12. The search to find an effective treatment for Michael’s disease proved to be a long, frustrating process. In Michael’s case, the first two drugs failed, and the “fail first” process he endured took nearly ten months during which he received no treatment. The first drug he tried did nothing to abate his pain; the second caused him to develop lupus-like symptoms, resulting in more appointments and tests. The insurance company then wanted Michael to *try another remedy that was the same type he had already failed twice before covering his physician’s recommended medication*. Finally, Michael’s doctor was able get coverage approved for the medication he had initially prescribed. Despite the eventual success, this period of over a year without treatment caused Michael’s disease to progress rapidly, resulting in Michael developing an additional chronic illness.
2. **Delayed treatment will cause severe or irreversible consequences**. Jake, from Alaska, was diagnosed with Crohn’s disease as a young child. A year later, he experienced a severe flare and the doctors insisted he immediately be put on an anti-TNF biologic. Jake was a primary non-responder to the anti-TNF, which meant that he would not respond to any anti-TNF. His doctors then tried to put him on an alternative biologic, however, his insurance company required him to prove failure on an additional anti-TNF biologic even though it was against the clinical evidence and guidelines. This process delayed Jake’s access to appropriate treatment for several weeks. By the time Jake was granted coverage for the new biologic, his disease had progressed so much that the treatment was not as effective as it would have been if prescribed earlier. As a result, Jake lost his colon. Jake turned 13 this year.
3. **Required drug will cause harm to the patient**. Jenn, from California, was diagnosed with psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis, her doctor prescribed a treatment that would ease her arthritis pain and slow down joint degeneration. Unfortunately, Jenn’s doctor-prescribed treatment was denied by the insurance company and required her to take an alternate medication, which would have led to life-threatening side-effects on the patient’s liver. After three months of back-and-forth between the provider, patient, and the insurance company, and explaining that the insurance preferred medication would result in a “death sentence” – Jenn was asked to try a third medication which exasperated her condition. Finally after nearly a year, Jenn was approved for her original doctor-prescribed treatment and began seeing improvements within three weeks.
4. **Required drug will prevent a patient from working**. Elliot, nicknamed Duffy, from Alaska, is an epilepsy patient and works as a ski instructor and heavy machine operator. The first medication he tried controlled his seizures, however the side-effects made him feel like he was inebriated and dizzy, making it unsafe and even dangerous to perform the tasks necessary for his jobs. Despite his inability to work on the treatment, his insurer would not cover alternative treatments, and he was faced with the option of losing his job or paying out right for a different treatment, which would cost him $700 a month. Duffy opted to pay for the new treatment with no coverage. The new medication controlled his seizures with less side effects so that he could perform his occupational duties.
5. **Patient is stable on their current medication.** Katie, a psoriatic arthritis patient, has been stable on her treatment for years. Her treatment was covered by her employer’s private insurance until, in the middle of the plan year, her insurer sent her a letter stating that her current treatment would no longer be covered until she went through step therapy protocols. Within four weeks, Katie, who had been an active adult, was back in a wheelchair. Her step therapy journey lasted for ten months, leading to 14 surgeries, countless doctors’ visits, missed time from work, and ultimately health care costs that far exceeded the price of her treatment.